# Arboretum, Dunkirk and Lenton, Radford and Park Area Committee 19<sup>th</sup> December 2018

| Title of paper: S106 funded works to improve sustainable transport access to |   |                 | ess to  |
|--|---|-----------------|---------|
|  | Nottingham Science Park and the Enter     | prise Zone.     |         |
| Director(s)/   | Chris Henning, Corporate Director for     | Wards affected: | Dunkirk |
| Corporate Director(s):   | Development and Growth                    | and Lenton      |         |
| Devent and and and   | Kait Managa Birahat Tanan (Blanca         |                 |         |
| Report author(s) and   | Keith Morgan: Principal Transport Planner |                 |         |
| contact details:   | Keith.morgan@nottinghamcity.gov.uk        |                 |         |
|  | 0115 8763942                              |                 |         |
| Other colleagues who   | See comments below.                       |                 |         |
| have provided input:   |   |                 |         |
| Date of consultation with Portfolio Holder(s) October 2018                   |   |                 |         |
| (if relevant)  |   |                 |         |
|  |   |                 |         |
| Relevant Council Plan Key Theme:   |   |                 |         |
| Strategic Regeneration and Development                                       |   |                 |         |
| Schools  |   |                 |         |
| Planning and Housing   |   |                 |         |
| Community Services   |   |                 |         |
| Energy, Sustainability and   | d Customer                                |                 |         |
| Jobs, Growth and Transp  | ort                                       |                 | ✓       |
| Adults, Health and Community Sector  |   |                 |         |
| Children, Early Intervention and Early Years                                 |   |                 |         |
| Leisure and Culture  |   |                 |         |
| Resources and Neighbourhood Regeneration                                     |   |                 |         |

## Summary of issues (including benefits to citizens/service users): Background

The City Council have received £36,700 from the developer of the land classified as 'north east of the Tennis Centre' in the relevant planning application 06/00910/POUT. This forms part of Nottingham Science Park.

Funding is required to be used on local roads to carry out minor works and contribute towards sustainable transport measures relating to the development.

This report is to advise the local Area Committee of the funding and the sustainable transport infrastructure improvements to go ahead.

#### **Present position**

The City Council is about to start work to expand Nottingham Science Park. This work has been coordinated alongside the D2N2 funded sustainable transport improvements to the Nottingham Enterprise Zone (Boots Campus). These works, which are on site, will primarily provide a new pedestrian/cycle bridge across the Midland Mainline.

It is proposed the S106 funds will allow additional works connecting to the wider proposal/developments to go ahead. As well as providing improved sustainable transport access to the Tennis Centre and Science Park.

The Council's DLO will deliver the S106 funded proposals. This is separate to the wider works to develop the Science Park and provide the bridge, both of which are being delivered through

contracts with external contractors.

Funding will provide. (plan included highlighting proposals)

- Enhanced cycle and pedestrian priority on George Green Way and Jesse Boot Avenue.
- Enhanced cycle and pedestrian crossing facilities (informal with no additional traffic signals and no negative impact on traffic flow).
- A new pedestrian path between the Science Park and the University Boulevard tram stop, formalising desire lines across a grassed area.
- Relevant direction signing.

#### Recommendation(s):

Ensure the Area Committee is aware that the funding will be released by Finance for the provision of sustainable transport infrastructure as shown on the accompanying plan.

### 1 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

1.1 As part of the Council's constitution there is a requirement to consult with the relevant Area Committee on the release and expenditure of S106 funds.

### 2 BACKGROUND (INCLUDING OUTCOMES OF CONSULTATION)

2.1 Businesses directly impacted by highway works have raised no objections. The operator of the tram is supportive.

#### 3 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED IN MAKING RECOMMENDATIONS

3.1 Design options were considered and the proposed measures offer the best value for the available funding to ensure connections to the wider walking and cycling networkj and the larger project to provide a bridge over the rail line.

## 4 FINANCE COLLEAGUE COMMENTS (INCLUDING IMPLICATIONS AND VALUE FOR MONEY/VAT)

- 4.1 The sum of £36,700 was received on 22/07/2014 in relation to planning reference 06/00910/POUT "Land N/E of Tennis Centre, University Boulevard":
- "To be used to mitigate the impact of the development on the highway network by either carrying out minor works to principal roundabouts within the wider locality or to be used to contribute towards sustainable transport measures relating to the development"
- The proposed scheme matches the purpose for which the contribution was paid. On completion of this decision, the Capital Programme will be amended to reflect the proposed expenditure and funding of £36,700 on this scheme. Advice provided by Sarah Baker (Commercial Business Partner Projects) on 10/12/2018.
- 5 <u>LEGAL AND PROCUREMENT COLLEAGUE COMMENTS (INCLUDING RISK MANAGEMENT ISSUES, AND LEGAL, CRIME AND DISORDER ACT AND PROCUREMENT IMPLICATIONS)</u>
- 5.1 Formal comments on the Delegated Decision are still to be made. The report is being taken to Area Committee following advice by Legal.

| 6 | STRATEGIC ASSETS & PROPERTY COLLEAGUE COMMENTS (FOR DECISION |
|---|--|
|   | RELATING TO ALL PROPERTY ASSETS AND ASSOCIATED               |
|   | INFRASTRUCTURE) (AREA COMMITTEE REPORTS ONLY)                |

6.1 N/A

## 7 EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT

| •     | LACALITI IIIII ACT ACCECCIIILITI   |
|-------|--|
| 7.1   | Has the equality impact of the proposals in this report been assessed?   |
| certa | No An EIA is not required because: (Please explain why an EIA is not necessary) funding will be spent on improvements to the public highway which must adhere to ain design standards to enable them to be accessible by all. These improvements are II scale improvements and enhance access for walking, cycling and public transport.  Yes  Attached as Appendix x, and due regard will be given to any implications identified it. |

- 8 <u>LIST OF BACKGROUND PAPERS OTHER THAN PUBLISHED WORKS OR THOSE DISCLOSING CONFIDENTIAL OR EXEMPT INFORMATION</u>
- 8.1 A plan accompanies this report
- 9 PUBLISHED DOCUMENTS REFERRED TO IN COMPILING THIS REPORT

9.1